



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing

NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, medical testing, calibration and inspection reports

# OIL-WET INCLINING PLATFORM SLIP RESISTANCE TEST Harmony Plank 2mm

Prepared for:	Kenbrock Flooring Pty Ltd Catherine Clark 22-24 Edison Road DANDENONG SOUTH VIC 3175
Specimen Description:	Harmony Plank 2mm, 183x1219 mm.
No. of Specimens:	3 off
Surface Structure:	Smooth
Specimen Preparation:	Washed with water and pH neutral detergent, rinsed then dried.
Specimen Configuration:	Unfixed
Test Direction:	Test conducted parallel with surface profile.
Joint Type & Width:	N/A
Air Temperature:	21°C
Test Standard:	AS 4586:2013 Slip resistance classification of new pedestrian surface materials, Appendix D - Oil Wet Inclining Platform Test
Test Shoe:	Leipzig V73-SP
Test Location:	ATTAR, Unit 1, 64 Bridge Road, Keysborough.
Test Date:	20 January 2020
Test Personnel:	Awel Guled and Dale Siegle

Displacement Space (rounded to the nearest 0.5cm <sup>3</sup> /dm <sup>2</sup> ):	Not tested	
<b>Displacement Space Assessment Group</b> (Appendix E, AS 4586 - 2013):	Not tested	
Corrected mean overall acceptance angle ( $\alpha_{ave}$ ) (rounded down to the nearest degree):	7°	
Classification:	R9	

These results apply only to the specimens tested and it is recommended that before selection of flooring or paving materials the effect of service conditions, including maintenance procedures and wear on their slip resistance be checked.

leed

Dale Siegle Compliance and Test Technician Approved Signatory

Reviewed By:

Awel Guled Compliance and Test Technician Approved Signatory

This report may not be reproduced except in its entirety

Page 1 of 3





Figure 1:Harmony Plank 2mmArrow indicates direction of testing



### CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA – AS 4586 - 2013 Oil Wet Inclining Platform Test – Appendix D

#### Compliance

# TABLE 5: CLASSIFICATION OF PEDESTRIANSURFACE MATERIALS ACCORDINGTO THE OIL-WET INCLINING PLATFORM TEST

Classification	Angle, degrees
No Classification	<6
R9	≥6 <10
R10	≥10 <19
R11	≥19 <27
R12	≥27 <35
R13	≥35

Report No. 15053.1 24 January 2020





Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 -Testing

NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, medical testing, calibration and inspection reports

## WET PENDULUM SLIP RESISTANCE TEST

## Harmony Plank 2mm

Prepared for:	Kenbrock Flooring Pty Ltd Catherine Clark 22-24 Edison Road DANDENONG SOUTH VIC 3175
Specimen Description:	Harmony Plank 2mm, 183x1219 mm.
No. of Specimens: Specimen Preparation: Test Condition & Slope:	5 off (Sampling Conducted by Client) Washed with water and pH neutral detergent, rinsed then dried. Unfixed, N/A
Test Direction:	Test conducted parallel with surface profile.
Air Temperature:	22°C
Test Standard:	AS 4586:2013 Slip resistance classification of new pedestrian surface materials, Appendix A - Wet Pendulum Test
Test Location:	ATTAR Unit 1, 64 Bridge Road, Keysborough.
Test Date:	17.1.20
Test Equipment:	Munro Stanley Pendulum Skid Resistance Tester Serial Number 0320, Calibrated 03/05/2018.
Slider Rubber:	Slider 96 Batch No. #92 prepared on P400 & 3µm lapping film.
Test Personnel:	Dale Siegle

Specimen Number	1	2	3	4	5
Mean British Pendulum Number (BPN)	35	34	33	31	31
Slip Resistance Value (SRV)		33			
Classification			P2		

These results apply only to the specimens tested and it is recommended that before selection of flooring or paving materials the effect of service conditions, including maintenance procedures and wear on their slip resistance be checked. Where alternatives are permitted by the standard, the choice of rubber slider used may also influence the test results obtained.

lee /

Dale Siegle Compliance and Test Technician Approved Signatory

Reviewed By:

Awel Guled Compliance and Test Technician Approved Signatory

This report may not be reproduced except in its entirety

Page 1 of 3

A division of Engineering Materials Evaluation Pty Ltd ABN 14 006 554 785





Figure 1:Harmony Plank 2mmArrow indicates direction of testing

### <u>CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA – AS 4586 – 2013</u> <u>Wet Pendulum Test - Appendix A</u>

#### Slip resistance

When this Standard is used for the testing and classification of the slip resistance of carpets (or carpet-like products) in potentially wet locations, the carpet shall be tested using the wet pendulum test method set out in Appendix A of AS 4586, and shall be reported as such.

When this AS 4586 is used for the testing and classification of the slip resistance of carpets in dry locations, the test shall be carried out in the dry condition using the pendulum test method set out in Appendix A of AS 4586, modified in accordance with Paragraph A2, and shall be reported as such.

The 'dry floor friction' test method in Appendix B of AS 4586 is not suitable for heavily profiled surfaces or carpets.

#### Compliance

The surface shall comply with the stated classification for the test method and test rubber that is nominated and declared by the manufacturer or supplier.

Class	Pendulum SRV (see Note 1)			
CidSS	Slider 96	Slider 55		
P5	>54	>44		
P4	45-54	40-44		
P3	35-44	35-39		
P2	25-34	20-34		
P1	12-24	<20		
P0	<12			

#### TABLE 2: CLASSIFICATION OF PEDESTRIAN SURFACE MATERIALS ACCORDING TO THE AS 4586 WET PENDULUM TEST

NOTES:

1 While Slider 96 or Slider 55 rubbers may be used, the test report shall specify the rubber that was used.

2 It is expected that these surfaces will have greater slip resistance when dry.

3 SDV may be calculated by using the tables that are given in Appendix F of AS 4586, and the minimum SRV that is considered appropriate for a level surface (see examples given in Appendix F of AS 4586).

#### Means of demonstrating compliance

Pedestrian surfaces that are classified in accordance with Table 2 shall meet the following criteria:

- (a) The mean test results shall be as follows:
  - (i) For the classifications in Table 2, the mean of the test results shall be-
    - (A) within the relevant criteria set out in the table; and
    - (B) each individual result shall be equal to or above the lower limit for the classification or, if below the classification, within the mean of the result minus 20%.

If either criteria is not met, the lot shall be considered to be of lower classification.

- (b) The classification in accordance with Table 2 shall be determined by—
  - (i) selecting and testing at least five specimens at random as specified in Appendices A and B of AS 4586; or
  - (ii) carrying out continuous testing and process control in accordance with AS 3942.
- (c) When testing individual lots, if a particular test fails to produce the expected classification it shall be permissible to—
  - (i) disregard the first sample, resample a minimum of 10 specimens from the whole lot, retest and apply the criteria to the new sample; or
  - (ii) subdivide the lot into smaller lots of different quality, resample, retest and reclassify each of the smaller lots.